

Math 110, Fall 2012, Sections 109-110
Worksheet 6

1. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.
 - (a) Write A^{-1} as a product of elementary matrices.
 - (b) Write A as a product of elementary matrices
2. True or false? If true, provide proof. If false, provide a counterexample.
 - (a) If A is an $m \times n$ matrix with a set of three linearly independent columns, then it also has a set of three linearly independent rows.
 - (b) Elementary row operations preserve the rank of A .
 - (c) Elementary column operations preserve the rank of A .
 - (d) Elementary row operations on A preserve the range of L_A .
 - (e) Elementary column operations on A preserve the range of L_A .
 - (f) Every $n \times n$ matrix can be written as a product of elementary matrices.
3. For each of the following linear transformations, determine if T is invertible and compute T^{-1} if applicable.
 - (a) $T : P_3(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_3(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $T(p) = p'$.
 - (b) $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by $T(p) = (p(-1), p(0), p(1))$.
4. Suppose that D can be transformed into B using row and column operations. Prove that D' can be transformed into B' using row and column operations, where
$$D' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D \end{array} \right), \quad B' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right).$$
5. Suppose that $A \in M_{m \times n}(F)$ and $b \in F^m$. Prove that if $\text{rank}(A \mid b) = \text{rank } A$, then there exists $x \in F^n$ such that $Ax = b$.

1. (a) Row reduction tells us

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus A is invertible and

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

2. (a) True, since the rank of A is the maximal number of linearly independent columns and the maximal number of linearly independent rows.

(b) True, see book.

(c) True, see book.

(d) False. The ranges of L_A and $L_{A'}$ are distinct for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(e) True. Performing elementary column operations results on A in a matrix AC , with C invertible. The claim is that $R(L_A) = R(L_{AC})$. If $y \in R(L_A)$, then there is an $x \in F^n$ with $Ax = y$. Then $AC(C^{-1}x) = y$, so $y \in R(L_{AC})$. Conversely, if $y \in R(L_{AC})$, there is some $x \in F^n$ with $ACx = y$. Then $A(Cx) = y$, so $y \in R(L_A)$. Thus we have shown that $R(L_{AC}) = R(L_A)$, as desired.

(f) False, since products of elementary matrices are invertible, and e.g. the zero matrix is not.

3. (a) Since $T(1) = 0$, we have $N(T) \neq \{0\}$ so T is not invertible. Alternatively, one could compute $[T]_\beta$ for some basis β of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ (e.g. the standard basis) and see that this matrix is not invertible.

(b) If $\beta = \{1, x, x^2\}$ and $\gamma = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$, then we have

$$[T]_\beta^\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix is invertible, with

$$[T^{-1}]_\gamma^\beta = ([T]_\beta^\gamma)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have

$$[T^{-1}(a, b, c)]_\beta = [T^{-1}]_\gamma^\beta [(a, b, c)]_\gamma = [T^{-1}]_\gamma^\beta \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2b \\ c - a \\ a - 2b + c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Inverting the coordinates, we get

$$T^{-1}(a, b, c) = b + \frac{1}{2}(c - a)x + \frac{1}{2}(a - 2b + c)x^2.$$

4. The idea is that we can just apply those same row operations that take D to B to the bottom part of the bigger matrices. More formally, if D can be transformed into B then there is an invertible matrix C such that $CD = B$. Then we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & C \end{array} \right) D' = B'.$$

Since the matrix multiplying D' on the left is invertible, we have that D' and B' are row-equivalent.

5. Let $C = (A \mid b)$. If $v_1, \dots, v_n \in F^m$ are the columns of A , then

$$\text{Col } A = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n, b\} = \text{Col } C.$$

Here $\text{Col } A$ is the column space of A (i.e. the span of the columns of A). However, $\text{rank } A = \dim \text{Col } A$ by definition, so $\dim \text{Col } A = \dim \text{Col } C$ by the hypothesis of the problem. Thus we must have $\text{Col } A = \text{Col } C$, and in particular $b \in \text{Col } A$. Thus there are scalars x_1, \dots, x_n such that

$$b = x_1 v_1 + \dots + x_n v_n.$$

But then if $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we have $Ax = b$ by the definition of matrix multiplication.