

**Math 54, Spring 2009, Sections 109 and 112**  
**Midterm 2 Review Exercises**  
**Solutions**

These exercises don't cover some of the **very important** computational-type problems, including many of the things listed under the "be able to" section of the review sheet. You can find examples of those types of problems on the sample exam and in the sections of the book (including the supplemental exercises at the end of each chapter). These problems are a little more theoretical, and are aimed at making sure you have a good grasp of the ideas underlying the algorithms.

1) [p.299 #12-13] (a) Assume that  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix and that  $B$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix. Show that  $\text{Rank } AB \leq \text{Rank } A$ . (Hint: Explain why every vector in  $\text{Col } AB$  is also in  $\text{Col } A$ .)

(b) Use part(a) to show that  $\text{Rank } AB \leq \text{Rank } B$  (Hint: look at  $(AB)^T$ ).

(c) Show that if  $P$  is an invertible  $m \times m$  matrix, then  $\text{Rank } PA = \text{Rank } A$  (Hint: Use (b) and the fact that  $A = P^{-1}(PA)$ .)

(a) Recall that for a  $n \times m$  matrix  $C$ ,  $\text{Col } C = \{C\vec{x} : \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$ . Thus a generic element of  $\text{Col } AB$  is of the form  $AB\vec{x}$ . But we can think of this as  $A(B\vec{x})$ , which is an element of  $\text{Col } A$ . Thus everything in  $\text{Col } AB$  is also in  $\text{Col } A$ , so  $\text{Col } AB \subseteq \text{Col } A$ . By Theorem 11, p.259, this means that  $\dim \text{Col } AB \leq \dim \text{Col } A$ , which was to be shown.

(b) Recall that  $\text{Rank } C = \text{Rank } C^T$  for any matrix  $C$ . With that in mind, we apply part (a) to the matrices  $B^T A^T$  and  $B^T$ . It says that  $\text{Rank } B^T A^T \leq \text{Rank } B^T$ . But  $B^T A^T = (AB)^T$ , so we have  $\text{Rank } (AB)^T \leq \text{Rank } B^T$ . Since transpose doesn't change rank, this means that  $\text{Rank } AB \leq \text{Rank } B$ .

(c) By part (b),  $\text{Rank } PA \leq \text{Rank } A$ . On the other hand, if we apply part (b) to  $A = P^{-1}(PA)$ , we get that  $\text{Rank } PA \leq \text{Rank } P^{-1}PA = \text{Rank } A$ . The only way that both of these inequalities can be true is if  $\text{Rank } A = \text{Rank } PA$ .

2) [p.371, #3] Suppose  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Show that  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $5I - 3A + A^2$ . What is its eigenvalue?

Since  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ ,  $\vec{x} \neq 0$ . We can compute

$$\begin{aligned}(5I - 3A + A^2)\vec{x} &= 5I\vec{x} - 3A\vec{x} + A^2\vec{x} \\ &= 5\vec{x} - 3\lambda\vec{x} + A\lambda\vec{x} \\ &= 5\vec{x} - 3\lambda\vec{x} + \lambda^2\vec{x} \\ &= (5 - 3\lambda + \lambda^2)\vec{x}.\end{aligned}$$

So  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $(5I - 3A + A^2)$  with eigenvalue  $(5 - 3\lambda + \lambda^2)$ .

3) Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$  such that  $A^2 + 6I = 5A$ . What if we require that  $A$  not be diagonal?

We can rearrange the given equation to read  $A^2 - 5A + 6I = 0$ , or alternatively  $(A - 2I)(A - 3I) = 0$ . One can now check that the following matrices satisfy the equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This works because diagonal matrices multiply entry-by-entry, which is not true in general. So what can we do to make this work with a non-diagonal matrix? Assume that  $P$  is some invertible matrix. If  $A^2 - 5A + 6I = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= P^{-1}0P \\ &= P^{-1}(A^2 - 5A + 6I)P \\ &= P^{-1}A^2P - 5P^{-1}AP + 6P^{-1}P \\ &= (P^{-1}AP)^2 - 5(P^{-1}AP) + 6I.\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $P^{-1}AP$  satisfies the same equation. So we can take one of our diagonal examples that worked, and find an invertible matrix  $P$  such that  $P^{-1}AP$  is not diagonal. For instance,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -20 \\ 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

has the desired property. Note: in finding a matrix that satisfies  $A^2 - 5A + 6I = 0$ , we found one whose eigenvalues satisfy  $\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6 = 0$ . This is not a coincidence; it is part of a theorem called the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.

4) [p.371, #1] True or false? If true, explain why, and if false provide a counterexample.

- (a) If  $A$  contains a row of zeros, then 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A$ .
- (b) Every eigenvector of  $A$  is also an eigenvector of  $A^2$ .
- (c) If  $A$  is diagonalizable, then the columns of  $A$  are linearly independent.
- (d) If  $A$  and  $B$  are invertible  $n \times n$  matrices, then  $AB$  is similar to  $BA$ .
- (e) If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  diagonalizable matrix, then every vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as a linear combination of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

(a) True. If  $A$  contains a row of all 0, then cofactor expansion across this row says that  $\det A = 0$  thus  $A$  is not invertible. This means that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Alternatively,  $A^T$  has a column of all zeros. If that is the  $k$ -th column of  $A$ , then  $\vec{e}_k$  is an eigenvector of  $A^T$  with eigenvalue 0.  $A$  and  $A^T$  have the same eigenvalues, so 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

(b) True. If  $x \neq 0$  and  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ , then  $A^2\vec{x} = \lambda^2\vec{x}$ .

(c) False.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is diagonal(izable), but has a column that is the zero vector. Any set that contains  $\vec{0}$  is linearly dependent.

(d) True.  $AB = B^{-1}(BA)B$  says that  $AB$  is similar to  $BA$ .

(e) True. A matrix is diagonalizable if and only if  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a basis of eigenvectors of  $A$ , in which case every vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as a linear combination of the elements of this basis.

5) (a) Suppose that  $A$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix. Show that  $A^T A \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} \geq 0$  for every  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

(b) Show that if  $\|\vec{x}\| \leq 1$ , then  $\|A\vec{x}\|^2 \leq \|A^T A \vec{x}\|$ .

(a) Recall that if  $C$  is  $n \times n$  and  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $C\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot C^T \vec{y}$ . Thinking of  $A^T A \vec{x}$  as  $A^T(A\vec{x})$ , this means that

$$A^T A \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} = A\vec{x} \cdot A\vec{x} = \|A\vec{x}\|^2 \geq 0.$$

(b) Picking up where we left off, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\vec{x}\|^2 &= A^T A \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} \\ &\leq \|A^T A \vec{x}\| \|\vec{x}\| \\ &\leq \|A^T A \vec{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

(The equality step follows from part (a), the first inequality is the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (p.432), and the second inequality comes from the fact that  $\|\vec{x}\| \leq 1$ .)

6) Suppose that  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , that  $\|\vec{y}\| = 1$ , and that  $W$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that  $\vec{y} = \text{Proj}_W \vec{y} + \text{Proj}_{W^\perp} \vec{y}$  and that  $\|\vec{y}\|^2 = \|\text{Proj}_W \vec{y}\|^2 + \|\text{Proj}_{W^\perp} \vec{y}\|^2$ .

The Orthogonal Decomposition Theorem (p.395) says that  $\vec{y}$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\vec{y} = \text{Proj}_W \vec{y} + \vec{z}$ ,  $\vec{z} \in W^\perp$ . On the other hand, it also says that  $\vec{y}$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\vec{y} = \text{Proj}_{W^\perp} \vec{y} + \vec{w}$  where  $w \in (W^\perp)^\perp = W$ . Since these decompositions are supposed to be unique, they must be the same, so  $\vec{z} = \text{Proj}_{W^\perp} \vec{y}$  and  $\vec{w} = \text{Proj}_W \vec{y}$ . Hence  $\vec{y} = \text{Proj}_W \vec{y} + \text{Proj}_{W^\perp} \vec{y}$ . Since  $\text{Proj}_W \vec{y} \in W$  and  $\text{Proj}_{W^\perp} \vec{y} \in W^\perp$ , we have  $\text{Proj}_W \vec{y} \perp \text{Proj}_{W^\perp} \vec{y}$ . The statement about norms now follows immediately from the Pythagorean Theorem.